IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE SIDE

APPEAL FROM ORDER NO.880 OF 2004
IN
NOTICE OF MOTION NO.2097 OF 2003
IN
S.C. SUIT NO.2203 OF 2003

Smt.Vandana Solanki & Anr. ... Appellants

V/s.

The State of Maharashtra & anr. ... Respondents

Mr.Indu Prakash i/b Mr.L.K. Tripathi for Appellant
Mr.J.Xavier for Respondent No.2

CORAM: **SMT.NISHITA MHATRE, J.**

DATED: **OCTOBER 7, 2004**

P.C.:

. Not on Board. Mentioned.

2. Without going into the merits of the case, I find that on 1.10.2004, the trial Court has adjourned the Motion to 8.10.2004. While doing so, it has directed that "injunction is not to be extended". Apparently, the injunction has been in force for over 1.1/2 years. I see no reason for not continuing the injunction, especially since no reasons have been given for refusing to extend the same.

3. Injunction extended till the disposal of the Motion. Motion is expedited. Both the defendants to file their reply within four weeks from today. Motion

to be disposed of within eight weeks thereafter.

4. Appeal from Order is disposed of accordingly.

5. In view of the disposal of the Appeal from Order, Civil Application No.1194 of 2004 does not survive and is disposed of as such.